## TENT COOPERATION TREA

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To: €
United States Patent and Trademark Office (Box PCT) Crystal Plaza 2 Washington, DC 20231 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE
in its capacity as elected Office
Applicant's or agent's file reference 08366/018W01
Priority date (day/month/year) 15 July 1997 (15.07.97)
Examining Authority on:  199 (11.02.99)  ational Bureau on:  ate or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

The International Bureau f WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Maria Victoria CORTIELLO

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

2501247

R



# **PCT**

REC'D 0 2 DEC 1999

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT

#### (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 08366/018WO1	FOR FURTHER ACTION	TION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No.	International filing date (day/s	nonth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US98/14667	15 JULY 1998		15 JULY 1997		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(6): C12N 5/00, 15/00; C12Q 1/02 and US Cl.: 800/3, 13; 435/29, 325, 348					
Applicant THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY	Y OF CALIFORNIA		en en grant grant de les este en la companya de la		
<ul><li>Examining Authority and is</li><li>This REPORT consists of a factoring</li><li>This report is also accomp</li></ul>	transmitted to the applicant total of sheets.  canied by ANNEXES, i.e., she	according to ets of the desc	red by this International Preliminary Article 36.  ription, claims and/or drawings which have grectifications made before this Authority.		
(see Rule 70.16 and Sect	ion 607 of the Administrative	Instructions u	nder the PCT).		
These annexes consist of a to	tal of $\mathcal{L}$ sheets.				
3. This report contains indication	s relating to the following i	tems:			
IV Lack of unity of i  V X Reasoned statemen citations and explain  VI Certain documents of the company of the	t of report with regard to no nvention t under Article 35(2) with reg nations supporting such staten	gard to novelty ment	ive step or industrial applicability , inventive step or industrial applicability;		
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion	of this report		
11 FEBRUARY 1999	0	8 NOVEMBE	1999		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/U Commissioner of Patents and Tradema Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231	ırks	orized of the	Mr Gelini for		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telep	phone to.	(03) 308-0196		







### International application No.

### PCT/US98/14667

L	Bas	is f	the rep rt			
1.	-					hich have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation of and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments).
		x	the internationa	l applic	ation as origin	ally filed.
		X	the description,	pages	1-74	, as originally filed.
				pages	NONE	_ , filed with the demand.
				pages	NONE	_ , filed with the letter of
				pages		, filed with the letter of
		X	the claims,	Nos	1-40	, as originally filed.
				Nos	NONE	, as amended under Article 19.
				Nos	NONE	, filed with the demand.
				Nos	NONE	, filed with the letter of
				Nos		, filed with the letter of
		x	the drawings,	sheets	Hig NONE	, as originally filed.
				sheets	fig NONE	, filed with the demand.
				sheets	Hig NONE	, filed with the letter of
				sheets	<del>fig</del>	, filed with the letter of
2.	The a	mend	ments have resulte	ed in the	e cancellation o	f:
		X	the description,	pages	NONE	
		x	the claims,	Nos.	NONE	<del></del>
		X	the drawings,	sheets	MONE NONE	<del></del>
3.		to go	•	sure as f	filed, as indicated	the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered in the Supplemental Box Additional observations below (Rule 70.2(c)).
	NONE		ooservations, it	necess	агу.	



### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

4	1	
_		 -

International application No.

PCT/US98/14667

STATEMENT			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-40	YES
-	Claims	NONE	NO NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
	Claims	1-40	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-40	YES
—	Claims	NONE	NO NO
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have b	clear whether the ne skilled artisan to een obvious to ex	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al	could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to th	clear whether the skilled artisan to een obvious to eart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the a associated signalling complexes, as taught l	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling
any event it would have been obvious to the have a similar effect. It also would have be complexes, since it was recognized in the associated signalling complexes, as taught leading 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PC.  NEW CITATIONS	clear whether the skilled artisan seen obvious to exart that PDZ dome by Saras et al.  T Article 33(2) as	mutant used by Chevesich et al. is ' that other mutations in the inaD gene ktend the findings of Chevesich et al ain-containing proteins are componen	"naturally occurring," but in e could be made which would to other signalling



### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US98/14667

### VIII. Certain observati ns on th int rnational application

The f ll wing bservati ns on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The description is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 5 because it fails to adequately enable practice of the claimed invention because:

The disclosure does not describe haow to make or use a fly of any species other than Drosophila. The disclosure does not teach how to make or use mutants of any protein other than inaD. The disclosure does not teach how to make or use the chimeric proteins of claims 11-13. No chemical agent as claimed in claims 35 and 36 is disclosed. No therapeutic compound, treatment or modulating chemical as recited in claims 37-40 is disclosed.

Claims 1-40 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because practice of the claimed invention is not enabled as required under PCT Rule 5.1(a) for the reasons set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph.



### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

liternational application No.

PCT/US98/14667

o be used wh n the space in any of the preceding boxes is no	
outinuation of: Boxes I - VIII	Sheet 10
	e si , se e de s
	- Spar products of the sparse
	• •
•	
•	
•	





International application No. PCT/US98/14667

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  IPC(6) :C12N 5/00, 15/00, C12Q 1/02  US CL :800/3, 13; 435/29, 325, 348						
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
B. FIELDS SEARCHED  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)						
	Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  U.S.: 800/3, 13; 435/29, 325, 348					
Documentat	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched					
Electronic d	lata base consulted during the international search (n	ame of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)				
APS, BIO	SIS, EMBASE, MEDLINE, DERWENT BIOTECH,	CAS				
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.				
Х, Р	TSUNODA et al. A multivalent PI signalling complexes in a G-protein-c July 1997, Vol. 388, pages 243-249,	oupled cascade. Nature. 17				
Y	HUBER et al. The transient recept putative store-operated Ca2+ channel mediated photoreception, forms a sig InaC and InaD. The EMBO Journal. 7036-7045, see the entire document.	essential for phosphoinositide- naling complex with NorpA,				
X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.						
-	ecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand				
	cument defining the general state of the art which is not considered be of particular relevance	the principle or theory underlying the invention				
	tier document published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone				
cite	*L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  *Y*  document is taken alone  document is taken alone  *Y*					
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other combined with one or more other such documents, such combination						
P doc	means  *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than *&* document member of the same patent family the priority date claimed					
Date of the actual completion of the international search  Date of mailing of the international search report						
21 OCTOBER 1998 0 2 NOV 1998						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231  Authorized difficult BRUCE CAMPELL						
Facsimile N		Telephone No. (703) 308-0196				



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT



International application No. PCT/US98/14667

	ation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant	passages	Relevant to claim No
<b>Y</b>	SARAS et al. PDZ domains bind carboxy-terminal seque target proteins. Trends in Biochemical Sciences. 1996, No. 12, pages 455-458, see the entire document.	1-40 /	
P, Y	SHIEH et al. Association of INAD with NORPA is esser controlled activation and deactivation of Drosophila phototransduction in vivo. Proceedings of the National of Science USA. November 1997, Vol. 94, pages 12682-see the entire document.	1-40	
Y	CHEVESICH et al. Requirement for the PDZ Domain Pr INAD, for Localization of the TRP Store-Operated Chann Signaling Complex. Neuron. January 1997, Vol. 18, pag 105, see the entire document.	el to a	1-40